## MECHANISM OF HEAT EXCHANGE BETWEEN SURFACES AND A FLUID-IZED BED

### A. G. Gorelik

Inzhenerno-Fizicheskii Zhurnal, Vol. 13, No. 6, pp. 931-936, 1967

UDC 536.246

Heat exchange between surfaces and fluidized beds is analyzed in terms of a solution for a problem with boundary conditions of the 1-st and 4-th kinds.

In the transfer of heat between a surface and a fluidized bed heat is transmitted from the wall via slugs (packets) of particles periodically forced apart by gas bubbles passing between them [1-4], resulting in variation of the instantaneous heat-exchange coefficient from its maximum value to virtually zero [2]. Along with the thermal resistance of the unsteadily heated packet of particles, it had been suggested in [3] to consider in the heat exchange process the additional "contact" thermal resistance to heat transfer from the surface to the packet at the packet boundary. This resistance is due to the increased porosity of that part of the bed in close proximity to the wall. This makes it necessary to introduce the assumption of contactresistance constancy with respect to time, to take into consideration the heat exchange between individual particles and the wall, etc. [3]. It is expedient to analyze the heating of a packet which consists of two layers: the first layer corresponds to the region of higher porosity, and the second layer corresponds to the remaining packet volume of constant porosity. The problem reduces to consideration of the unsteady heating of two bodies: bounded and semibounded rods exhibiting diverse thermal properties as a result of the difference in the porosities of these two regions. At the initial instant of time (the instant of contact between the packet and the wall) the temperature of the left side of the bounded rod is instantly increased to the wall temperature  $t_w$  which remains constant throughout the heating period (boundary condition of the 1-st kind). The exchange of heat between the rods is subject to the Fourier law (boundary condition of the 4-th kind), which corresponds fully to the physical conditions of the problem. The initial temperature of the two rods is the same, and equal to the temperature  $t_0$  of the bed. Width R of the bounded rod corresponds to the width of the higher-porosity zone.

The problem is formulated as follows [5]:

$$\frac{\partial \Theta_1(x, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = a_1 \frac{\partial^2 \Theta_1(x, \tau)}{\partial x^2},$$
  
  $\tau > 0, \quad 0 < x < R,$  (1)

$$\frac{\partial \Theta_2(x, \tau)}{\partial \tau} = a_2 \frac{\partial^2 \Theta_2(x, \tau)}{\partial x^2},$$

$$\tau > 0, \quad R < x < \infty, \tag{2}$$

$$\Theta_1(x, 0) = \Theta_2(x, 0) = 0,$$
 (3)

$$\Theta_1(R, \tau) = \Theta_2(R, \tau), \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda_1 \frac{\partial \Theta_1(R, \tau)}{\partial x} = \lambda_2 \frac{\partial \Theta_2(R, \tau)}{\partial x}, \qquad (5)$$

$$\Theta_1(0, \tau) = \Theta_w = \text{const}, \tag{6}$$

$$\Theta_2(\infty, \tau) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where  $\Theta_1 = t_1 - t_0$ ;  $\Theta_2 = t_2 - t_0$ ;  $\Theta_W = t_W - t_0$ .



Heat exchange between a surface and a packet of particles in a fluidized bed. 1) Zone of contact with the wall; 2) bulk of fluidized bed.

A solution of this problem is given in [5]. For the temperature of zone 1 it is written as follows:

$$\Theta_{1} = \Theta_{w} \bigg\{ \operatorname{erfc} \frac{x}{2\sqrt{a_{1}\tau}} - h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{n-1} \times \bigg\{ \operatorname{erfc} \frac{2nR - x}{2\sqrt{a_{1}\tau}} - \operatorname{erfc} \frac{2nR + x}{2\sqrt{a_{1}\tau}} \bigg] \bigg\}.$$
(8)

Here

$$h = \frac{1-k}{1+k_{\varepsilon}}; \quad k_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1 c_1 \gamma_1}{\lambda_2 c_2 \gamma_2}}$$

The density of the heat flux from the wall to rod 1 (in fact, to the packet) is

$$q = -\lambda_1 \frac{\partial \Theta_1(0 \quad \tau)}{\partial x}.$$
 (9)

From (8) and (9) we have

$$q = \frac{\lambda_1 \Theta_{\mathrm{w}}}{\sqrt{\pi a_1 \tau}} \left[ 1 + 2h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{n-1} \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 R^2}{a_1 \tau}\right) \right].$$
(10)

Material of particles	Particle size, m	Gas velocity, m/sec	α <sub>e</sub> , W/m <sup>2</sup> X X deg	α <sub>1</sub> , W/m <sup>2</sup> X X deg.	α <sub>2</sub> , W/m <sup>2</sup> X X deg.	δ1. °.	δ <sub>2</sub> , %
Glass "	0.32.10-3	0.2 0.411	367 339	300 272	304 252	17.89 19.8	16.9 25.5
"	$0.15 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.0877	525	420	440	19.79	16.23
"	"	0.122	497	381	397	23.54	20.0
"	,,	0.317	434	387	401	10 63	7 79
,,	,,	0.39	407	332	344	18 18	15 55
**	,,	0 454	384	399	329	16 15	13.8
,,	,,	0.543	366	321	327	19.10	10.17
**	0 104 10-3	0.040	568	450	480	20.64	15.00
	0.104.10-0	0.070	500	400	400	20.04	10.03
"		0.107	000	444	4/2	17.42	12.12
	,,,	0.138	520	420	445	19.39	14.53
,,	"	0.201	491	403	425	17.95	13.45
,,	"	0.299	478	385	405	19.26	15.52
"	"	0.363	457	379	395	17.43	13.69
,,	,,	0.451	464	388	405	16.21	12.75
**	"	0.533	464	396	410	14.25	11.28
"	0.07.10-3	0.07	680	511	550	24.71	19.31
"	"	0.101	642	493	526	23.4	18.12
**	"	0.133	621	485	513	22.15	17.15
,,	,,	0 198	616	464	493	24.82	19.95
**	"	0 305	604	486	520	19 31	14 03
"	"	0.384	672	484	512	28 02	23 68
,,	,,	0.457	650	500	530	20.02	17 28
	,,	0.407	709	505	555	21.73	20.05
	0.040.10-3	0.009	102	525	600	20.22	20.90
,,	0.043.10-0	0.155	870	579	700	33.09	20.30
,,		0.192	852	730	182	14.80	8,20
"	,,	0.287	850	660	/15	21.98	15.68
"	"	0.378	860	625	6/8	27.15	21.34
"	"	0.482	808	641	690	20.92	14.73
Polystyrene	$0.14 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0.0686	292	262	264	10.4	9,91
"	"	0.0945	276	259	260	13,96	13.56
"	"	0.126	292	229	231	21,63	21,26
"	"	0.197	272	234	235	13.98	13,46
"	,,	0.283	272	231	232	15.13	14.74
"	"	0.344	288	245	246	15.13	i4.82
"	,,	0.439	299	263	264	11.97	11.59
,,	,,	0 500	304	253	254	17.04	16.71
,,	0 104.10-3	0.0686	321	295	297	7 78	7.12
**	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0 0945	325	280	282	14 08	13.5
,,	"	0 126	330	256	259	21 94	21 5
••	"	0 197	335	266	268	20.6	20 16
,,	,,	0.977	351	260	266 i	24.8	24 34
"	,,	0.2//	344	202	289	18 43	17 06
,,	"	0.044	330	201	276	10.40	18 44
,,	,,	0.400	251	204	306	13.94	10.44
	· · · · · ·	0.515	201 [	304	300	13,24	12,10

# Values for Coefficients of Heat Transfer from a Wall to a Fluidized Bed

On the assumption that heat exchange with the bubble can be neglected, the mean value of the coefficient of heat exchange between the wall and the packet is [2, 3]

$$a = \frac{1 - f_0}{\tau_c \Theta_w} \int_0^{\tau_c} q d \tau.$$
 (11)

Substituting the value of q from (10) into (11) and integrating, after transformation we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{2(1-f_0)\lambda_1}{\tau_c} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\tau_c}{\pi a_1}} + 2h \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h^{n-1} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{\tau_c}{\pi a_1}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{n^2 R^2}{a_1 \tau_c}\right) - \frac{nR}{a_1} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{nR}{\sqrt{a_1 \tau_c}}\right) \right] \right\}.$$
 (12)

To calculate  $\alpha$  by means of formula (12) it is necessary to know the thermal characteristics of the packet in zones 1 and 2. Owing to the lack of more general formulas, to estimate the porosity of the zone in contact with the wall we use the empirical relationship given in [6] for large particles (1.0-4.0 mm). It was shown in that paper that the porosity in the stationary layer of particles in contact with the wall is

$$\varepsilon = 1 - 1.25 \left(1 - \varepsilon_2\right) \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{0.378}.$$
 (13)

The width of the higher-porosity zone is equal to 0.56d. The corresponding porosity of an inner column of the bed is [6]

$$\varepsilon = 1 - 1.15 \left(1 - \varepsilon_2\right) \left(\frac{x}{d}\right)^{0.378}$$
 (14)

Here the width of the higher-porosity zone is equal to 0.7d. In expressions (13) and (14) x denotes the instantaneous distance of a point from the wall. In the remaining part of the bed (packet) the porosity is constant and equal to  $\varepsilon_2$ . Integrating expression (13) from 0 to 0.56d, and expression (14) from 0 to 0.7d, we obtain in both cases the same equation for the mean porosity in zone 1,

$$\varepsilon_1 = 1 - 0.73 (1 - \varepsilon_2). \tag{15}$$

This value can be used to determine the thermal characteristics of zone 1. Values of the specific heat for zones 1 and 2 can be assumed equal, since the specific heat of the gas, compared to that of the particles, is negligibly small, and variation of the porosity has virtually no effect on this characteristic of the packet. The thermal conductivity coefficients  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  can be determined from the formula proposed in [4] to approximate the heat-conduction curve in a stationary particulate bed

$$\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_g} = 1 + \frac{(1-\varepsilon_i)(1-\lambda_g/\lambda_m)}{\lambda_g/\lambda_m + 0.28\varepsilon_i^{0.63(\lambda_m/\lambda_g)^{0.18}}} \quad (i = 1, 2).$$
(16)

The thermal diffusivity in each zone will then be:

$$a_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{0.73 c_m \gamma_m (1 - \varepsilon_2)},\tag{17}$$

$$a_2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{c_{\rm m} \gamma_{\rm m} (1 - \varepsilon_2)}, \qquad (18)$$

and

$$k_{\rm s} = \sqrt{\frac{0.73\,\lambda_{\rm l}}{\lambda_{\rm 2}}} \tag{19}$$

As an example, calculations were carried out with  $f_0$  and  $\tau_c$  taken from [2], and compared with the heat-exchange coefficient obtained in the same paper (table). This was done with data from all individual experiments on which the set of magnitudes  $\alpha$ ,  $f_0$ ,  $(1 - f_0)/\tau_c$  cited in [2] were based.

Heat-exchange coefficients  $\alpha_2$  were also calculated with the use of values  $\lambda_2$  obtained experimentally [2]. Thus,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\delta_1 = (\alpha_e - \alpha_1)/\alpha_e$  were derived for  $\lambda_2$ calculated by formula (16); and values of  $\alpha_2$ , and  $\delta_2 = (\alpha_e - \alpha_2)/\alpha_e$  for values of the thermal conductivity coefficient  $\lambda_2$  taken from experiments [2]. The calculations were carried out with the use of 10 terms of the summation in the right side of Eq. (12). Adequate accuracy can, however, be obtained when the number of summation terms is limited to 2-4.

The analysis of results shows a satisfactory correlation between the calculated and experimental data, although calculated values are somewhat lower. The apparent discrepancy may be due to several factors: the empirical equation for porosity in the zone in contact with the wall was obtained for larger particles; the approximate equation (16) results in a certain deviation from the curve of effective heat conduction in a stationary bed [4]; the convective heat transfer between particles was also neglected.

It should be noted that the above analysis relates to comparatively highly disperse systems, and was carried out on the assumption that the surface area of heat exchange between particles and the gas filtering through the packet is sufficiently large to ensure a close link between the temperature fields of particles and gas. As shown in [7], Eqs. (1) and (2), which are valid for continuous media, may also be used for disperse systems. An evaluation of the error introduced by the above assumptions would, however, require detailed calculation supported by all necessary experimental data (including the coefficient of heat transfer between particles and gas in each zone).

A more precise definition of all factors considered here will make it possible to obtain values of coefficients which would be closer to the actual.

Thus, knowledge of the hydrodynamics  $(f_0, \tau_c)$ , of the bed structure  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ , and of the extent of the relationship  $(\alpha_g)$  between the temperature fields of the particles and the gas would completely define the intensity of heat transfer between a surface and a bed of a fluidized particulate material.

### NOTATION

 $t_0$  and  $t_w$  are the bed and the wall temperatures, respectively; x is the instantaneous distance from the wall; R is the thickness of the rod in contact with the wall;  $a_1$ ,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $c_1$ ,  $\gamma_1$ , and  $\varepsilon_1$  are, respectively, the thermal diffusivity, the thermal conductivity, the specific heat, the density, and the porosity of the zone in contact with the wall;  $a_2$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $\gamma_2$ , and  $\varepsilon_2$  are, respectively, the thermal diffusivity, the thermal conductivity, the specific heat, the density, and the porosity of the bulk of the bed;  $\tau$  is the time, q is the heat flux,  $\lambda_m$  and  $\lambda_g$  are the thermal conductivities of the material of particles, and of the gas, respectively;  $\alpha$  is the mean value of the coefficient of heat transfer from the wall to the stationary bed;  $f_0$  is that part of the time during which the surface is in contact with the gas bubbles;  $\tau_c$  is the time of contact between the bed and the surface;  $\varepsilon$  is the instantaneous porosity of the zone in contact with the wall; d is the particle diameter;  $c_m$  is the specific heat of the material of the particles;  $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the density of the material of the particles;  $\alpha_e$  is the experimental value of the heattransfer coefficient; and  $\alpha_g$  is the coefficient of heat transfer between the particles and the wall.

### REFERENCES

1. H. S. Mickley and D. F. Fairbanks, A. I. Chem. Eng. Journal, 1, no. 3, 1955.

2. H. S. Mickley, D. F. Fairbanks, and R. D. Hawthorn, Chem. Eng. Progress Symposium, ser. 57, no. 32, 1961.

3. A. P. Baskakov, IFZh, 6, no. 11, 1963.

4. N. I. Gel'perin, V. G. Ainshtein, and A. V. Zaikovskii, Khimicheskaya promyshlennost, no. 6, 1966.

5. A. V. Luikov, Theory of Heat Conduction [in Russian], GTTI, 1952.

6. M. Kimura and T. Kaneda, Chem. Eng. (Japan), (Kagaku kogaku), 19, 397, 1955.

7. N. V. Antonishin, L. E. Simchenko, and G. A. Surkov, IFZh [Journal of Engineering Physics], 11, no. 4, 1966.

3 January 1967

Institute of Organic Intermediates and Dyes, Moscow